From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: Keller, Günter KELLER, Günter NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF Lederer & Keller Prinzregentenstrasse 16-EDERER & KEI THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY JAMB Z ROUGH **EXAMINATION REPORT** 80538 München **ALLEMAGNE** (PCT Rule 71.1) 15.09.2004 Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14.09.2004 Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION 02012302.2 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP 03/05780 03.06.2003 04.06.2002 Applicant BIOMAY PRODUKTIONS- UND HANDELS-AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer** 

Humbert, C

Tel. +31 70 340-4129





## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1 ' '		_	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Notific	ation of Transmittal of International	
02012302.2				On On Diame	AOTION	Preliminary	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP 03/05780				International filing dat 03.06.2003	e (day/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.06.2002	
1	mation 2N15		ent Classification (IPC) or bo	l oth national classificatio	n and IPC			
Applicant BIOMAY PRODUKTIONS- UND HANDELS-AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT								
1.	. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of 3 sheets.							
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	1	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the opinion					
	II.		Priority					
	Ш		Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to	novelty, inv	entive ster	and industrial applicability	
	IV		Lack of unity of inventio		•	•		
	٧	☒	Reasoned statement ur citations and explanatio	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) v ns supporting such s	vith regard tatement	to novelty,	inventive step or industrial applicability;	
	VI		Certain documents cited	t				
	VII		Certain defects in the in	ternational applicatio	n			
	VIII		Certain observations on	the international app	lication			
Date of submission of the demand					Date of co	ompletion of	this report	
17.11.2003					14.09.2	004		
Name and mailing address of the international					Authorize	d Officer		
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016					Bucka,	4	To see the see of the	
					Telephone	No. +31 70	) 340-2279	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/05780

1	the	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):								
	De	escription, Pages								
	1-2	28	as originally filed							
•	Se	Sequence listings part of the description, Pages								
	1-5	5	as originally filed							
	Cla	aims, Numbers								
	1-1	8	received on 27.08.2004 with letter of 27.08.2004							
	Dra	Drawings, Sheets								
	1/1	0-10/10	as originally filed							
2.	Wit lan	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.								
	The	ese elements were available o	or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a translation	furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).							
		the language of publication of	of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of a translation Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).	furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under							
3.	Wit inte	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the nternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:								
	$\boxtimes$	contained in the internationa	l application in written form.							
	$\boxtimes$	☑ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.								
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.									
		furnished subsequently to th	is Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that the subsein the international application	equently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure n as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the inform	nation recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence							

☐ the description,

the drawings,

☐ the claims,

listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

pages:

sheets:

Nos.:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/05780

5. <b>-</b>	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)				

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

#### Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The following documents (D) are considered to be relevant to this application: 1
  - D1: WO 96 13589 A (IMMULOGIC PHARMA CORP) 9 May 1996
  - D2: WO 94 01560 A (IMMULOGIC PHARMA CORP; BOND JULIAN F (US); KUO MEI CHANG (US); POL) 20 January 1994
  - D3: FERREIRA FATIMA ET AL: 'Isolation and characterization of cDNA clones coding for mugwort (Artemisia vulgaris) pollen allergens.' INTERNATIONAL ARCHIVES OF ALLERGY AND IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 124, no. 1-3, January 2001, pages 77-79.
  - D4: HIRSCHWEHR R ET AL: 'ALLERGENS, IGE, MEDIATORS, INFLAMMATORY MECHANISMS. IDENTIFICATION OF COMMON ALLERGENIC STRUCTURES IN MUGWORT AND RAGWEED POLLEN' JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY -YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, vol. 101, no. 2, February 1998, pages 196-206.
- The amendments of the claims submitted with letter of 27 August 2004 appear to 2 be allowable in view of Article 34(2)(b) PCT.
- The subject-matter of claims 1 to 18 is new and therefore meets the requirements 3 of Article 33(2) PCT.
- The subject-matter of claims 1 to 18 lacks inventiveness in the meaning of Article 4 33(3) PCT.

Claim 1 relates to an allergen from mugwort having the sequence as shown in SEQ ID NO: 1, which shows homology to an allergen from ragweed. D3, which is considered to represent the closest prior art, describes the identification of several cDNAs encoding mugwort pollen allergens, from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that the primary sequence of the antigen is

different from those contained in the prior art.

The problem to be solved by the present invention therefore is considered to be the provision of a further or alternative mugwort pollen allergen.

The application provides the protein having the sequence SEQ ID NO: 1, thereby solving the problem.

D3 is referring to the same technical problem, namely the identification of mugwort antigens. In view of the teachings of D4, describing inter alia the cross-reactivity of antisera against ragweed allergens with allergens from mugwort, and in view of the availability of the cDNA expression library described in D3, the identification of the provided cDNA would have been straightforward to a person skilled in the art. D4 describes the cross-reactivity both of IgE antibodies and of rabbit antisera against the antigen profilin (figures 3 to 5). Therefore, the skilled person would have a reasonable expectation to succeed in the identification of related antigens from a different species, since the cross-reactivity of antibodies has been demonstrated in D4. D4 even teaches two approaches, which lead to the isolation of related antigens. Therefore, even if it would require much work, i. e. the use of both approaches, the skilled person would have the reasonable expectation to succeed in the identification of further, related antigens from mugwort. It is common to both approaches that the crossreactivity of antibodies is used to identify antigens from two different, related species. This is exactly the reasoning used in the application at issue for the isolation of a cDNA encoding a mugwort allergen.

The Applicant states that difficulties were encountered in the cloning of the antigen that required inventive activity to be overcome, and also that the skilled person "can in no way simply receive the specific sera required for the identification of a new antigen". However, the application does not describe any such cloning difficulties that would have required inventiveness to be overcome. Even more strikingly, the antisera used in the identification of the new antigen. was, according to the application, simply obtained from Dr. P. King. The antiserum in question was a rabbit antiserum (IgG) highly specific for ragweed pollen (page 15).

Therefore, the skilled artisan can expect to perform the cloning and expression of the corresponding cDNA in a fairly uncomplicated manner, even if this would require much work.

For the reasons outlined above, the solution proposed in claims 1 to 18 cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).